

**NORMAN PLANNING COMMISSION
REGULAR SESSION MINUTES**

NOVEMBER 8, 2018

The Planning Commission of the City of Norman, Cleveland County, State of Oklahoma, met in Regular Session in the Council Chambers of the Norman Municipal Building, 201 West Gray Street, on the 8th day of November, 2018. Notice and agenda of the meeting were posted at the Norman Municipal Building and online at <http://www.normanok.gov/content/boards-commissions> at least twenty-four hours prior to the beginning of the meeting.

Vice Chairman Tom Knotts called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.

* * *

Item No. 1, being:

ROLL CALL

MEMBERS PRESENT

Sandy Bahan
Nouman Jan
Tom Knotts
Dave Boeck
Erin Williford
Andy Sherrer

MEMBERS ABSENT

Chris Lewis
Neil Robinson
Lark Zink

A quorum was present.

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT

Jane Hudson, Interim Director, Planning &
Community Development
Janay Greenlee, Planner II
Roné Tromble, Recording Secretary
Ken Danner, Subdivision Development
Manager
Elisabeth Muckala, Assistant City Attorney
Kathryn Walker, Assistant City Attorney
Bryce Holland, Multimedia Specialist
Terry Floyd, Development Coordinator

* * *

CONSENT DOCKET, BEING:

Item No. 2, being:

TMP-132 -- APPROVAL OF THE AUGUST 9, 2018 PLANNING COMMISSION REGULAR SESSION MINUTES AND THE OCTOBER 11, 2018 MINUTES

Item No. 3, being:

COS-1819-1 – CONSIDERATION OF A NORMAN RURAL CERTIFICATE OF SURVEY SUBMITTED BY BAREFOOT HOMES, L.L.C. (SMC CONSULTING ENGINEERS, P.C.) FOR BAREFOOT MEADOWS FOR APPROXIMATELY 20.00 ACRES OF PROPERTY GENERALLY LOCATED ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF EAST ROBINSON STREET APPROXIMATELY ½ MILE EAST OF 72ND AVENUE N.E.

Item No. 4, being:

SFP-1819-1 – CONSIDERATION OF A SHORT FORM PLAT SUBMITTED BY 1ST STATE BANK OF NOBLE (MACBAX & ASSOCIATES) FOR HOLLYWOOD BANK ADDITION FOR 0.7091 ACRES OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1600 WEST LINDSEY STREET.

* * *

Mr. Knotts asked if any member of the Commission wished to remove any time from the Consent Docket. There being none, he asked if any member of the audience wished to remove an item. There being none, he asked for a motion.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION:

Andy Sherrer moved to approve the Consent Docket as presented. Dave Boeck seconded the motion.

There being no further discussion, a vote on the motion was taken with the following result:

YEAS	Sandy Bahan, Nouman Jan, Tom Knotts, Dave Boeck, Erin Williford, Andy Sherrer
NAYES	None
MEMBERS ABSENT	Chris Lewis, Neil Robinson, Lark Zink

Ms. Tromble announced that the motion, to approve the Consent Docket, passed by a vote of 6-0.

* * *

Item No. 3, being:

COS-1819-1 – CONSIDERATION OF A NORMAN RURAL CERTIFICATE OF SURVEY SUBMITTED BY BAREFOOT HOMES, L.L.C. (SMC CONSULTING ENGINEERS, P.C.) FOR BAREFOOT MEADOWS FOR APPROXIMATELY 20.00 ACRES OF PROPERTY GENERALLY LOCATED ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF EAST ROBINSON STREET APPROXIMATELY ½ MILE EAST OF 72ND AVENUE N.E.

ITEMS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD:

1. Location Map
2. Staff Report
3. Request for Variance of Minimum Acreage Requirement
4. Norman Rural Certificate of Survey – Barefoot Meadows
5. Greenbelt Commission Comments

This item was approved on the Consent Docket by a vote of 6-0.

* * *

Item No. 4, being:

SFP-1819-1 – CONSIDERATION OF A SHORT FORM PLAT SUBMITTED BY 1ST STATE BANK OF NOBLE (MACBAX & ASSOCIATES) FOR HOLLYWOOD BANK ADDITION FOR 0.7091 ACRES OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1600 WEST LINDSEY STREET.

ITEMS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD:

1. Location Map
2. Staff Report
3. Short Form Plat – Hollywood Bank Addition
4. Site Plan

This item was approved on the Consent Docket by a vote of 6-0.

* * *

Item No. 5, being:

O-1819-19 – NS-NORMAN, L.L.C., REQUESTS CLOSURE OF A PORTION OF A PLATTED 10' UTILITY EASEMENT LOCATED WITHIN RENAISSANCE ADDITION AT 1600 ANN BRANDEN BOULEVARD.

ITEMS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD:

1. Location Maps
2. Staff Report
3. Petition and Attachments
4. Letters from Utilities

PRESENTATION BY STAFF:

1. Ken Danner reviewed the staff report, a copy of which is filed with the minutes. Staff recommends approval of the request to close a portion of a ten-foot (10') utility easement located within the final plat of Renaissance Addition.

PRESENTATION BY THE APPLICANT:

1. Chad Taylor, an attorney with the Riggs, Abney Law Firm in Oklahoma City, representing the applicant – One corner of a garage building was found to be encroaching into the utility easement; it's a small pie piece of about 3' by 4 or 5'. We've run a GPS crew out there to locate the utilities; the actual corner of the building is not over any active utility lines. There are OG&E lines out there; there is a water line. We've talked to everybody. There's no objection to closing this thing down. It's not going to affect any utility lines. Basically just cleaning up one corner of a building.

AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION:

None

DISCUSSION AND ACTION BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION:

Dave Boeck moved to recommend adoption of Ordinance No. O-1819-11 to City Council. Erin Williford seconded the motion.

There being no further discussion, a vote on the motion was taken with the following result:

YEAS	Sandy Bahan, Nouman Jan, Tom Knotts, Dave Boeck, Erin Williford, Andy Sherrer
NAYES	None
MEMBERS ABSENT	Chris Lewis, Neil Robinson, Lark Zink

Ms. Tromble announced that the motion, to recommend adoption of Ordinance No. O-1819-11 to City Council, passed by a vote of 6-0.

* * *

Item No. 6, being:

O-1819-11 – JAMES L. ADAIR, AGENT FOR THE ESTATE OF O. VON ADAIR, REQUESTS SPECIAL USE FOR A MIXED BUILDING FOR PROPERTY CURRENTLY ZONED C-3, INTENSIVE COMMERCIAL DISTRICT, AND LOCATED AT 205 EAST MAIN STREET.

ITEMS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD:

1. Location Map
2. Staff Report
3. Pre-Development Summary

PRESENTATION BY STAFF:

1. Janay Greenlee reviewed the staff report, a copy of which is filed with the minutes. Staff supports this Special Use request and recommends approval of Ordinance No. O-1819-11.

PRESENTATION BY THE APPLICANT:

1. Jim Adair, 111 N. Peters – My office is immediately adjacent to the subject property. This is the fourth time we've been here to do this; I hope I'm about to get it down. This building has had the same tenant in it for at least 20 years; it is now vacant. At the minimum, it's due a little TLC; we've evaluated it and think we'd like to go a lot further than that. It's offices on the ground floor and upstairs. By going to loft apartments on the second floor, we can take the first floor back to a restaurant or retail use. Obviously, the trick there is to figure out what do you do with the upstairs. Loft apartments have been a really good solution. To the best of my knowledge, we have about 18 loft apartments downtown; our family has 11. They are all full. We're getting close to seeing a residential component on Main Street, and they're just a lot of fun. I don't want to take much of your time. I'd be glad to answer any questions you have.

AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION:

None

DISCUSSION AND ACTION BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION:

Andy Sherrer moved to recommend adoption of Ordinance No. O-1819-11 to City Council. Sandy Bahan seconded the motion.

There being no further discussion, a vote on the motion was taken with the following result:

YEAS	Sandy Bahan, Nouman Jan, Tom Knotts, Dave Boeck, Erin Williford, Andy Sherrer
NAYES	None
MEMBERS ABSENT	Chris Lewis, Neil Robinson, Lark Zink

Ms. Tromble announced that the motion, to recommend adoption of Ordinance No. O-1819-11 to City Council, passed by a vote of 6-0.

* * *

Item No. 7, being:

O-1819-12 – UNP RESTAURANT, L.L.C., DBA REDROCK CANYON GRILL, REQUESTS AMENDMENT OF THE PUD ESTABLISHED BY ORDINANCE NO. O-0203-2, AS AMENDED BY O-0506-9, O-0607-13 AND O-1415-45, FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1820 LEGACY PARK DRIVE.

ITEMS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD:

1. Location Map
2. Staff Report with Attachment A
3. Amended and Restated PUD Narrative with Exhibit I
4. Protest Map and Protest Letter

PRESENTATION BY STAFF:

1. Jane Hudson reviewed the staff report, a copy of which is filed with the minutes. Staff submits this request to Planning Commission with recommendation of approval for Ordinance No. O-1819-12. We did receive one protest letter from a property owner across I-35, which amounted to 0.02% of the notification area, which did have to go out to 750' in order to notify a sufficient number of properties.

2. Mr. Boeck asked the hours for the restaurant. Ms. Hudson responded that they are open until 9:00 p.m. on Sunday through Thursday, and until 10:00 p.m. on Friday and Saturday.

PRESENTATION BY THE APPLICANT:

None

AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION:

1. Mitch Baroff, 421 Park Drive – I had a really nice dinner at the restaurant about a month and a half ago and there was activity at that time at Legacy Park and you also had music, and it was really quite confusing. But it sounds like you've dealt with that situation as long it's some kind of permitted thing. It was quite a conflict between the two music scenes going on at that particular time. But it sounds like you've dealt with it.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION:

Dave Boeck moved to recommend adoption of Ordinance No. O-1819-12 to City Council. Nouman Jan seconded the motion.

There being no further discussion, a vote on the motion was taken with the following result:

YEAS	Sandy Bahan, Nouman Jan, Tom Knotts, Dave Boeck, Erin Williford, Andy Sherrer
NAYES	None
MEMBERS ABSENT	Chris Lewis, Neil Robinson, Lark Zink

Ms. Tromble announced that the motion, to recommend adoption of Ordinance No. O-1819-12 to the City Council, passed by a vote of 6-0.

* * *

Item No. 8, being:

O-1819-13 – KAPPA KAPPA GAMMA REQUESTS REZONING FROM R-2, TWO-FAMILY DWELLING DISTRICT, TO RM-2, LOW DENSITY APARTMENT DISTRICT WITH SPECIAL USE FOR A FRATERNITY OR SORORITY HOUSE, FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 700 COLLEGE AVENUE.

ITEMS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD:

1. Location Map
2. Staff Report
3. Site Plan
4. Pre-Development Summary

PRESENTATION BY STAFF:

1. Janay Greenlee reviewed the staff report, a copy of which is filed with the minutes. Staff supports and recommends approval of Ordinance No. O-1819-13.

PRESENTATION BY THE APPLICANT:

None

AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION:

None

DISCUSSION AND ACTION BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION:

Andy Sherrer moved to recommend adoption of Ordinance No. O-1819-13 to City Council. Dave Boeck seconded the motion.

There being no further discussion, a vote on the motion was taken with the following result:

YEAS	Sandy Bahan, Nouman Jan, Tom Knotts, Dave Boeck, Erin Williford, Andy Sherrer
NAYES	None
MEMBERS ABSENT	Chris Lewis, Neil Robinson, Lark Zink

Ms. Tromble announced that the motion, to recommend adoption of Ordinance No. O-1819-3 to City Council, passed by a vote of 6-0.

* * *

Item No. 9, being:

O-1819-15 – ROBERT MARRIOTT AND PETER PETROMILLI REQUEST REZONING FROM CCFBC URBAN GENERAL TO CCPUD, CENTER CITY PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT, FOR A MIXED USE BUILDING WITH COMMERCIAL AND RESIDENTIAL USES ON 6,500 SQUARE FEET OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 103 WEST APACHE STREET.

ITEMS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD:

1. Location Map
2. Staff Report with Attachment A
3. CCPUD Narrative with Site Plan and Plat

PRESENTATION BY STAFF:

1. Jane Hudson reviewed the staff report, a copy of which is filed with the minutes. Staff recommends approval of Ordinance No. O-1819-15.
2. Mr. Boeck asked about parking on James Garner and Apache. Ms. Hudson responded that Apache has parking on one side.
Mr. Boeck asked how many residential units are proposed. Ms. Hudson said there are three units with five beds each. They've stated in their document they have a long-term parking agreement with the office use to the south – it's the same property owner. They also have a lease with the Railhouse to the north.
Mr. Boeck asked if the commercial will be office or retail. Ms. Hudson indicated they would like retail.
3. Mr. Knotts asked if they're committed to the commercial use, or if they would have the flexibility to convert the ground floor into housing. Mr. Boeck asked if the use is fixed. Ms. Hudson responded that if you have residential on the ground floor, you have to have a 3' elevation.

PRESENTATION BY THE APPLICANT:

1. Peter Petromilli, the applicant – We're not interested in renting out the first floor as residential. If we were, we wouldn't have applied for the PUD. Our residential application here fits just fine with the setbacks. The only reason that we're actually here today for the PUD is to provide us with the proportions on the floor plan to allow commercial use on the first floor. The proportions with the 10' setback really aren't conducive to leasing out for retail space – just the width isn't enough for two spaces and it's a little bit too large for one. So in trying to attract tenants, if we had one space we wouldn't be able to lease it out at the rate that we needed to make the pro forma work, and if we try to squeeze two in under the 10' setback we wouldn't have enough room to accommodate two. So we tried to make our building as small as we possibly could but allow the two-tenant option on the first floor for retail. What we're asking today has nothing to do with residential, because we don't need to be here today for a PUD for residential. So really the only reason we're here today is to request a few variations so that we can accommodate the commercial use on the first floor. We are committed to commercial on the first floor. Also, and maybe I'm saying too much, but my interpretation of what we would have to do to get residential on the first floor would be to build it up 3'. By requesting a reduction in the ceiling height from 12' to 10', it actually limits us to where we can't in the future turn this into residential without actually going back and asking for a variance. Because if we built up 3' on the inside, which you're allowed, we wouldn't have the clear height of the 9' that's then required. So we are, in essence, pigeon-holing ourselves out of future residential on the first floor unless we go through a variance.
2. Mr. Boeck – To me, this is really important. Because after all the discussions that we had about Center City Form Based Code, and one of the big issues, at least on my part, and Bill Hickman was involved in that, was the fact that we wanted it up at 3' because that's what Boston and Chicago and New York City does, and that's what's considered good form-based code, but the discussion of how to make it accessible – I thought there was some flexibility there in terms of allowing, with a variance – but the variance would be allowed if it was done

properly, to do ground level housing. So I want to get that clarified. It has nothing to do with your project.

3. Ms. Hudson – Within the Urban General it says ground story residential units – the finished floor elevation shall be no less than 3'.

Mr. Boeck – Well, I know it says that in there, but there was supposed to be – and there was lots of discussions that I had with Bill Hickman – somewhere it was written in – not so much the ordinance, but in terms of policy and procedure that there would be a way that you could request a variance and go through the process and get ground level housing so that it would be accessible.

Ms. Hudson – Under the administrative adjustments, the director is authorized to approve administrative adjustment applications in strict conformance with the following standards only – and finished floor elevation up to 5%.

Mr. Boeck – Okay. We need to carry that conversation on at another time.

AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION:

1. Mitch Baroff, 421 Park Drive – I like the project a lot, quite honestly, and I think it's great. But I have some questions, and it's form based code questions. I'm a retired architect and I've designed scores of buildings in Norman and remodeled like 300 buildings in Norman using the old zoning. I looked at the form based code and I really don't understand how to use it, so I'm kind of asking maybe for some training, or we should set something up to try to understand how it's used a little better. Then some questions that have come up and I've heard complaints along James Garner: one is related to parking on James Garner on gravel and sight lines. It's pretty loose the parking along James Garner. I'm not sure what the final configuration of the new James Garner is going to be, and I guess that's another question to be answered, maybe not tonight, but I think it's something we should discuss. Then I understand that there's three units of apartments – second, third, and fourth floor. Is that height – the PUD has nothing to do with building height changes? Is the current height that they're proposing allowed currently, or is that part of the PUD process.

Ms. Hudson – The height that they're proposing is allowed. The only two variances that they've requested are clear height and then the setback line on the south side.

Mr. Baroff – And then, legally, should you have some kind of documentation for this parking that they've agreed upon and how much parking is really required for a building like this? We should probably have that number so we know what we're doing and probably should see the contract for parking that they have.

Mr. Boeck – That's not part of this conversation here. They said they had agreements with other places.

Mr. Baroff – But do they? I could say that. And, of course, I'll just bring up just for the record that we're talking about five bedrooms per unit which is kind of a conflict there. Basically, it's the mini-dorms continue. It's something we should keep on talking about and try to figure out what we're really going to do about that.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION:

1. Mr. Sherrer – I'm supportive of this project, and I'll be voting yes. For Center City PUDs in the future, as it relates to outdoor live or recorded entertainment, to me this PUD is a little bit lacking of description. If it's going to be in there, there's really not very much granularity within what outdoor live or recorded entertainment, permitted live music, recorded sporting events or movies – really broad. I would think that we would want to have in future Center City PUDs, especially as it relates to ones not necessarily adjacent to the railroad – that might be a consideration we might want to have a little more detail. So I think encouragement of future

applicants in that way would be important. Not unsupportive of this particular project, but just in the future.

2. Mr. Knotts – The PUD doesn't prevent them from complying with the City sound ordinances or anything else, does it?

Ms. Hudson – It does not.

Andy Sherrer moved to recommend adoption of Ordinance No. O-1819-15 to City Council. Sandy Bahan seconded the motion.

There being no further discussion, a vote on the motion was taken with the following result:

YEAS	Sandy Bahan, Nouman Jan, Tom Knotts, Dave Boeck, Erin Williford, Andy Sherrer
NAYES	None
MEMBERS ABSENT	Chris Lewis, Neil Robinson, Lark Zink

Ms. Tromble announced that the motion, to recommend adoption of Ordinance No. O-1819-15 to City Council, passed by a vote of 6-0.

* * *

Item No. 10a, being:

R-1819-32 – RYAN AND HILLARY PITTS REQUEST AMENDMENT OF THE NORMAN 2025 LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION PLAN FROM LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL DESIGNATION TO MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL DESIGNATION FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1414 GEORGE AVENUE.

and

Item No. 10b, being:

O-1819-14 – RYAN AND HILLARY PITTS REQUEST REZONING FROM R-1, SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING DISTRICT, TO R-2, TWO-FAMILY DWELLING DISTRICT, FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1414 GEORGE AVENUE.

ITEMS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD:

1. Location Map
2. Postponement Memo
3. Request for Postponement

DISCUSSION AND ACTION BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION:

Dave Boeck moved to postpone Resolution No. R-1819-32 and Ordinance No. O-1819-14 to the December 13, 2018 Planning Commission meeting. Sandy Bahan seconded the motion.

There being no further discussion, a vote on the motion was taken with the following result:

YEAS	Sandy Bahan, Nouman Jan, Tom Knotts, Dave Boeck, Erin Williford, Andy Sherrer
NAYES	None
MEMBERS ABSENT	Chris Lewis, Neil Robinson, Lark Zink

Ms. Tromble announced that the motion, to postpone Resolution No. R-1819-32 and Ordinance No. O-1819-14 to the December 13, 2018 Planning Commission meeting, passed by a vote of 6-0.

* * *

Item No. 11, being:

O-1819-17 -- AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NORMAN, OKLAHOMA, AMENDING SECTION 10-203.1, IN ARTICLE II OF CHAPTER 10 (HEALTH AND SAFETY); AMENDING SECTION 13-108, IN ARTICLE I OF CHAPTER 13 (LICENSES AND OCCUPATIONS); ENACTING ARTICLE XXXIV, INCLUDING SECTIONS 13-3401 THROUGH 13-3407, IN CHAPTER 13 (LICENSES AND OCCUPATIONS); AMENDING SECTIONS 420.1 (A-1, GENERAL AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT), 420.2 (A-2, RURAL AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT), 422.7 (RO, RESIDENCE-OFFICE DISTRICT), 422.9 (O-1, OFFICE INSTITUTIONAL DISTRICT), 423.1 (CO, SUBURBAN OFFICE COMMERCIAL DISTRICT), 423.2 (C-1, LOCAL COMMERCIAL DISTRICT), 424.1 (C-2, GENERAL COMMERCIAL DISTRICT), 424.2 (TC, TOURIST COMMERCIAL DISTRICT), 424.3 (CR, RURAL COMMERCIAL DISTRICT), 425.1 (C-3, INTENSIVE COMMERCIAL DISTRICT), 426.1 (I-1, LIGHT INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT), 428.1 (M-1, RESTRICTED INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT), 429 (MUD, MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT), ALL IN ARTICLE XI OF CHAPTER 22 (ZONING ORDINANCE); AMENDING SECTION 434.1 (SPECIAL USES) AND SECTION 438.1 (HOME OCCUPATIONS), BOTH IN ARTICLE XII OF CHAPTER 22 (ZONING ORDINANCE); AND AMENDING SECTION 450 (DEFINITIONS), IN ARTICLE XIV OF CHAPTER 22 (ZONING ORDINANCE); ALL IN ORDER TO ESTABLISH A PUBLIC NUISANCE AS TO THE CREATION AND FAILURE TO PREVENT THE EMANATION OF NOXIOUS ODORS, LIGHT OR NOISE BEYOND PROPERTY BOUNDARIES, TO ESTABLISH BUSINESS LICENSING FOR MARIJUANA ESTABLISHMENTS AS PERMITTED BY STATE LAW, TO ADD PERMITTED AND SPECIAL USES TO THE ZONING ORDINANCE TO ALLOW FOR THE LOCATION OF MARIJUANA ESTABLISHMENTS IN CONFORMANCE WITH STATE LAW, AND TO ADD DEFINITIONS AND OTHER RELATED PROVISIONS; AND PROVIDING FOR THE SEVERABILITY THEREOF.

ITEMS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD:

1. Staff Report
2. Annotated Ordinance No. O-1819-17

PRESENTATION BY STAFF:

1. Jane Hudson reviewed the staff report, a copy of which is filed with the minutes. She noted that there were errors in the printed staff report. Staff supports and recommends approval of Ordinance No. O-1819-17.

2. Mr. Sherrer asked how we've approached alcohol in the past. We have had special uses for bars and taverns in the commercial zoning categories. This is going to be a permitted business.

Ms. Hudson – A bar in the commercial district is a special use. But for dispensing it would be a permitted use in commercial areas.

Mr. Sherrer – So you could argue that within this particular ordinance we would be more lenient to marijuana related businesses than we would to alcohol related businesses. I'm not unsupportive of that; I just wanted to make sure I was clear on understanding the definitions of how we've approached this. I think a lot of it is because of the lack of clarity from the State.

3. Mr. Jan – I have a comment as well. How are we going to enforce this? Or how is the City going to enforce this? For bars, you need to have some place proper. I mean, anybody who has the license can technically open his jacket and sell it.

Mr. Boeck – It doesn't say that at all.

Mr. Jan – There is no definition how the dispensary is going to look.

Mr. Knotts – It would have to be licensed by the State.

Mr. Boeck – And that whole licensure process requires a building.

AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION:

None

DISCUSSION AND ACTION BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION:

*Erin Williford moved to recommend adoption of Ordinance No. O-1819-17 to City Council.
Sandy Bahan seconded the motion.*

There being no further discussion, a vote on the motion was taken with the following result:

YEAS	Sandy Bahan, Tom Knotts, Dave Boeck, Erin Williford, Andy Sherrer
NAYES	Nouman Jan
MEMBERS ABSENT	Chris Lewis, Neil Robinson, Lark Zink

Ms. Tromble announced that the motion, to recommend adoption of Ordinance No. O-1819-17 to City Council, passed by a vote of 5-1.

* * *

Item No. 12, being:

O-1819-18 – AN ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NORMAN, OKLAHOMA, AMENDING SECTION 22:431.2 OF THE CITY OF NORMAN CODE OF ORDINANCES CHANGING THE SECTION TITLE TO COMMUNICATION FACILITIES, ADDING DEFINITIONS AND UPDATED RELATED TERMINOLOGY, CLARIFYING LANGUAGE APPLICABLE TO COMMERCIAL COMMUNICATION TOWERS AND TELEVISION AND RADIO TRANSMISSION TOWERS, AND ADDING REGULATIONS RELATED TO SMALL CELL WIRELESS FACILITIES; AND PROVIDING FOR THE SEVERABILITY THEREOF.

ITEMS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD:

1. Staff Report
2. Annotated Ordinance No. O-1819-18

PRESENTATION BY STAFF:

1. Kathryn Walker reviewed the staff report, a copy of which is filed with the minutes. Staff supports and recommends approval of Ordinance No. O-1819-18.

AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION:

None

DISCUSSION AND ACTION BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION:

Andy Sherrer moved to recommend adoption of Ordinance No. O-1819-18 to City Council. Sandy Bahan seconded the motion.

There being no further discussion, a vote on the motion was taken with the following result:

YEAS	Sandy Bahan, Nouman Jan, Tom Knotts, Dave Boeck, Erin Williford, Andy Sherrer
NAYES	None
MEMBERS ABSENT	Chris Lewis, Neil Robinson, Lark Zink

Ms. Tromble announced that the motion, to recommend adoption of Ordinance No. O-1819-18 to City Council, passed by a vote of 6-0.

* * *

Item No. 13, being:

MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS OF PLANNING COMMISSION & STAFF

None

* * *

Item No. 14, being:

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further comments from Commissioners or staff, and no further business, the meeting adjourned at 7:27 p.m.


Norman Planning Commission