## City of Norman

ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan Unsignalized Intersection Cost Projection Summary - Buildings 4/30/2018

| GPS ID | Project Name | Cost Projection |  | Priority |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90005 | Intersection of Municipal Complex and parking lot (Lat.35.2221; Long.-97.4476) | \$ | 6,000 | 2 |
| 90007 | Intersection of Municipal Complex and parking lot (Lat.35.2222; Long.-97.4467) | \$ | 10,000 | 2 |
| 90008 | Intersection of Municipal Complex and parking lot (Lat.35.2226; Long.-97.4463) | \$ | 5,000 | 2 |
| 90009 | Intersection of Municipal Complex and Daws St | \$ | 9,000 | 13 |
| 90010 | Intersection of Municipal Complex and parking lot (Lat.35.2225; Long.-97.4462) | \$ | 5,000 | 2 |
| 90011 | Intersection of Municipal Complex and James Garner Ave | \$ | 2,000 | 2 |
| 90012 | Intersection of Municipal Complex and Tonhawa St | \$ | 5,000 | 2 |
| 90013 | Intersection of Municipal Complex and parking lot (Lat.35.2223; Long.-97.4459) | \$ | 1,000 | 2 |
| 90014 | Intersection of Municipal Complex and N Santa Fe Ave | \$ | 15,000 | 2 |
|  | TOTAL | \$ | 58,000 |  |



Project Location


Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition | All driveway path of travel issues and possible solutions provided in driveway shapefile |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5\% for free-flow approaches Crosswalk width is less than 6' <br> Crosswalk striping condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |




## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community


Project Location


Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition | All driveway path of travel issues and possible solutions provided in driveway shapefile |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5\% for free-flow approaches Crosswalk width is less than 6' <br> Crosswalk striping condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |





## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community


Project Location


Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition | All driveway path of travel issues and possible solutions provided in driveway shapefile |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than $5 \%$ for free-flow approaches Crosswalk width is less than 6' |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crosswalk striping condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Curb Ramp Issues | Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates noexisting ramp) |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1A | 2 A |  |  |
| Curb ramp does not exist and is needed |  |  |  |  |
| Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk |  |  |  |  |
| No 4' $\times 4$ ' clear space at base of curb ramp |  |  |  |  |
| Curbed side is not $90^{\circ}$ or has traversable adjacent surface |  |  |  |  |
| Flare cross slope is greater than 10\% |  |  |  |  |
| Blended transition running slope is greater than $5 \% \times$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cut-thru ramp runing slope is greater than $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Curb ramp cross slope is greater than 2\% Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Curb ramp width is less than 48" |  |  |  |  |
| Cut-thru ramp width is less than $60^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Permanent obstruction ( $>0.25^{\prime \prime}$ ') in curb ramp/landing/flares |  |  |  |  |
| Temporary obstruction (>0.25") in curb ramp/landing/flares |  |  |  |  |
| No textured surface at base of curb ramp | X |  |  | For intersection, commercial driveway, and park ramps, instali |
|  |  |  |  | color truncated domes |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Landing area is less than $5^{\prime} \times 5$ or slopes greater than $2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25 "' | X |  |  | Fix curb ramp transition |
| Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |
| Ponding occurs at base of curb ramp |  |  |  |  |



## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community


## Project Location



Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition | N/A | N/A | N/A | Good |  |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than 5\% | N/A | N/A | N/A | X | Repave roadway and install crosswalk pavement markings |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5\% for free-flow approaches | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |  |
| Crosswalk width is less than 6' | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |  |
| Crosswalk striping condition | N/A | N/A | N/A | Good |  |



Comment: Existing sidewalk, curb ramp, and/or striping configurations permit pedestrians to cross the major street. An Engineering study is needed to confirm crossing should be accommodated at this location and the current crossing treatment is appropriate.
Photographs 90009


Corner 1 No Ramp (1z)


Corner 3 No Ramp (3z)


Corner 2 No Ramp (2z)


Ramp 4A

## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community
End of Project Description for Project 90009 Intersection of Municipal Complex and Daws St


Project Location


Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches |  |  | el is | po | driveway shapefile |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than $5 \%$ for free-flow approaches Crosswalk width is less than 6' Crosswalk striping condition |  |  |  |  |  |


| Curb Ramp Issues | Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates noexisting ramp) |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2A | 3A |  |  |
| Curb ramp does not exist and is needed |  |  |  |  |
| Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk |  |  |  |  |
| No 4' $\times 4^{\prime}$ clear space at base of curb ramp |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blended transition running slope is greater than $5 \% \times$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cut-thru ramp runing slope is greater than $5 \%$ Remove and replace curb ramp |  |  |  |  |
| Curb ramp cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ R |  |  |  |  |
| Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Curb ramp width is less than 48" |  |  |  |  |
| Cut-thru ramp width is less than $60^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Permanent obstruction ( $>0.25^{\prime \prime}$ ') in curb ramp/landing/flares |  |  |  |  |
| Temporary obstruction ( $>0.25^{\prime \prime}$ ) in curb ramp/landing/flares |  |  |  |  |
| No textured surface at base of curb ramp |  | X |  | For intersection, commercial driveway, and park ramps, install |
| No color contrast at base of curb ramp |  | X |  | color truncated domes |
| Landing area does not exist and is needed |  |  |  |  |
| Landing area is less than $5^{\prime} \times 5$ or slopes greater than $2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25"' | X |  |  | Fix curb ramp transition |
| Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |
| Ponding occurs at base of curb ramp |  |  |  |  |


| Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. | Intersection of Municipal Complex and parking lot (Lat.35.2225; Long.-97.4462) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Photographs | GPS ID: 90010 |



Ramp 3A

## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community


Project Location


Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition | Good | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than 5\% |  | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 2\% for stop control approaches |  | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5\% for free-flow approaches | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Crosswalk width is less than 6' | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Crosswalk striping condition | None | N/A | N/A | N/A | Install crosswalk pavement markings |


| Curb Ramp Issues | Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i'' in ramp label indicates noexisting ramp) |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 A | $2 z$ | $3 z$ | $4 z$ |  |
| Curb ramp does not exist and is needed |  |  |  |  |  |
| Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk |  |  |  |  |  |
| No 4' $\times 4$ 4' clear space at base of curb ramp |  |  |  |  |  |
| Curbed side is not $90^{\circ}$ or has traversable adjacent surface |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flare cross slope is greater than 10\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Curb ramp running slope is greater than 8.3\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blended transition running slope is greater than $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cut-thru ramp running slope is greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
| Curb ramp cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ |  |  |  |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
| Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Curb ramp width is less than 48" |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cut-thru ramp width is less than 60 " |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permanent obstruction ( $>0.25^{\prime \prime}$ ") in curb ramp/landing/flares | X |  |  |  |  |
| Temporary obstruction ( $>0.25{ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) in curb ramp/landing/flares |  |  |  |  |  |
| No textured surface at base of curb ramp | $\times$ |  |  |  | For intersection, commercial driveway, and park ramps, install |
| No color contrast at base of curb ramp | X |  |  |  | color truncated domes |
| Landing area does not exist and is needed |  |  |  |  |  |
| Landing area is less than $5^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime}$ or slopes greater than $2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25" |  |  |  |  |  |
| Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pondiing occurs at base of curb ramp |  |  |  |  |  |



Ramp 1A


Corner 3 No Ramp (3z)


Corner 2 No Ramp (2z)


Corner 4 No Ramp (4z)

## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community
End of Project Description for Project 90011 Intersection of Municipal Complex and James Garner Ave


Project Location


Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches |  |  | el is | po | driveway shapefile |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than $5 \%$ for free-flow approaches Crosswalk width is less than 6' Crosswalk striping condition |  |  |  |  |  |


| Curb Ramp Issues | Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates noexisting ramp) |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1A | 2A |  |  |
| Curb ramp does not exist and is needed |  |  |  |  |
| Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk |  |  |  |  |
| No 4' ${ }^{\text {4' }}$ ' clear space at base of curb ramp |  |  |  |  |
| Curbed side is not $90^{\circ}$ or has traversable adjacent surface |  |  |  |  |
| Flare cross slope is greater than 10\% |  |  |  |  |
| Curb ramp running slope is greater than 8.3\% |  |  |  |  |
| Blended transition running slope is greater than $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Cut-thru ramp runing slope is greater than $5 \%$ Remove and replace curb ramp |  |  |  |  |
| Curb ramp cross slope is greater than 2\% | X | X |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
| Cut-thru ramp cross slope is greater than 2\% |  |  |  |  |
| Curb ramp width is less than 48" |  |  |  |  |
| Cut-thru ramp width is less than $60^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Permanent obstruction ( $>0.25^{\prime \prime}$ ") in curb ramp/landing/flares |  |  |  |  |
| Temporary obstruction ( $>0.25^{\prime \prime}$ ") in curb ramp/landing/flares |  |  |  |  |
| No textured surface at base of curb ramp | $\times$ | X |  | For intersection, commercial driveway, and park ramps, instaili |
| No color contrast at base of curb ramp | X | X |  | color truncated domes |
| Landing area does not exist and is needed $\quad$ |  |  |  |  |
| Landing area is less than $5 \times 5$ or slopes greater than $2 \%$ | X |  |  | Remove and replace landing area |
| Curb ramp transition onto roadway is greater than 0.25 " |  |  |  | Fix curb ramp transition |
| Counter slope of the gutter or street at the foot of the curb ramp is greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |
| Ponding occurs at base of curb ramp |  |  |  |  |


| Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. | Intersection of Municipal Complex and Tonhawa St |
| :---: | :---: |
| Photographs | GPS ID: 90012 |



Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:
The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community
End of Project Description for Project 90012 Intersection of Municipal Complex and Tonhawa St


Project Location


Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition | All driveway path of travel issues and possible solutions provided in driveway shapefile |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5\% for free-flow approaches Crosswalk width is less than 6' <br> Crosswalk striping condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |




## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community


Project Location


Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition | Poor | N/A | N/A | N/A | Repave roadway and install crosswalk pavement markings |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than 5\% |  | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches | X | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5\% for free-flow approaches | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Crosswalk width is less than 6' | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | Install crosswalk pavement markings |
| Crosswalk striping condition | None | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |

Curb Ramp Issues
Photographs 90014


Ramp 1A


Corner 3 No Ramp (3z)


Ramp 2A


Corner 4 No Ramp (4z)

## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community

