## City of Norman

## ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan Unsignalized Intersection Cost Projection Summary <br> 4/30/2018

| GPS ID | Project Name | Cost Projection |  | Priority |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1000 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and Westport Dr | \$ | 40,000 | 5 |
| 1001 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and Tee Dr | \$ | 9,000 | 2 |
| 1002 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and Palmer Cir | \$ | 3,000 | 12 |
| 1003 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and Fairway Ct | \$ | 6,000 | 5 |
| 1004 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and Dakota St | \$ | 17,000 | 5 |
| 1005 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and Crestmont St | \$ | 21,000 | 5 |
| 1006 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat.35.2217; Long.-97.4766) | \$ | 1,000 | 13 |
| 1007 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat.35.2210; Long.-97.4766) | \$ | 7,000 | 5 |
| 1008 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat.35.2192; Long.-97.4766) | \$ | 10,000 | 5 |
| 1009 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and alley (Lat.35.2172; Long.-97.4766) | \$ | 15,000 | 5 |
| 1010 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and Butler Dr | \$ | 20,000 | 5 |
| 1011 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and Osborne Dr | \$ | 11,000 | 5 |
| 1012 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and George L Cross Dr / Terrace PI | \$ | 17,000 | 2 |
| 1013 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and Bud Wilkinson Dr SW | \$ | 27,000 | 2 |
| 1014 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and driveway (Lat.35.2126; Long.-97.4766) | \$ | 13,000 | 2 |
| 1015 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and Westpark Dr | \$ | 12,000 | 5 |
| 1016 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and Calvin Dr | \$ | 8,000 | 5 |
| 1017 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and Wilcox Dr | \$ | 21,000 | 5 |
| 1018 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and Springer Dr | \$ | 12,000 | 5 |
| 1019 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2184; Long. -97.4745) | \$ | 12,000 | 2 |
| 1020 | Intersection of W Main St and Merkle Dr | \$ | 14,000 | 5 |
| 1021 | Intersection of W Main St and Collier Dr | \$ | 17,000 | 2 |
| 1022 | Intersection of W Main St and Thompson Dr | \$ | 26,000 | 5 |
| 1023 | Intersection of W Main St and N Sherry Ave | \$ | 26,000 | 5 |
| 1024 | Intersection of W Main St and S Westchester | \$ | 25,000 | 5 |
| 1025 | Intersection of W Main St and Wylie Rd | \$ | 9,000 | 12 |
| 1026 | Intersection of W Main St and Foreman Ave | \$ | 7,000 | 5 |
| 1027 | Intersection of W Main St and Gatewood Dr | \$ | 9,000 | 5 |
| 1028 | Intersection of E Lindsey St and Lindsey Plaza Dr | \$ | 13,000 | 6 |
| 1029 | Intersection of E Lindsey St and Creekside Dr | \$ | 7,000 | 9 |
| 1030 | Intersection of E Lindsey St and Brandywine Ln | \$ | 15,000 | 5 |
| 90021 | Intersection of E Lindsey St and driveway (Lat. 35.2038; Long. -97.4231) | \$ | 12,000 | 5 |
| 90022 | Intersection of E Lindsey St and driveway (Lat. 35.2038; Long. -97.4229) | \$ | 8,000 | 5 |
| 90023 | Intersection of E Lindsey St and driveway (Lat. 35.2038; Long. -97.4224) | \$ | 13,000 | 5 |
| 90024 | Intersection of E Lindsey St and driveway (Lat. 35.2038; Long. -97.4186) | \$ | 11,000 | 2 |
| 90025 | Intersection of E Lindsey St and driveway (Lat. 35.2038; Long. -97.4176) | \$ | 5,000 | 2 |
| 90026 | Intersection of E Lindsey St and driveway (Lat. 35.2039; Long. -97.4147) | \$ | 4,000 | 5 |
| 90027 | Intersection of E Lindsey St and driveway (Lat. 35.2038; Long. -97.418) | \$ | 5,000 | 2 |
| 90028 | Intersection of E Lindsey St and driveway (Lat. 35.2039; Long. -97.421) | \$ | 7,000 | 2 |
| 90029 | Intersection of E Lindsey St and driveway (Lat. 35.2039; Long. -97.4065) | \$ | 5,000 | 2 |
| 90030 | Intersection of E Lindsey St and driveway (Lat. 35.2039; Long. -97.4072) | \$ | 2,000 | 2 |
| 90031 | Intersection of E Lindsey St and driveway (Lat. 35.2039; Long. -97.4087) | \$ | 6,000 | 2 |
| 90032 | Intersection of E Lindsey St and driveway (Lat. 35.2039; Long. -97.4091) | \$ | 2,000 | 2 |
| 90033 | Intersection of E Lindsey St and driveway (Lat. 35.2039; Long. -97.4131) | \$ | 10,000 | 5 |
| 90034 | Intersection of E Lindsey St and driveway (Lat. 35.2039; Long. -97.4128) | \$ | - | Compliant |
| 90035 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2184; Long. -97.4595) | \$ | 15,000 | 2 |
| 90036 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2185; Long. -97.4622) | \$ | 8,000 | 5 |
| 90037 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2185; Long. -97.4619) | \$ | 5,000 | 5 |
| 90038 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2185; Long. -97.4617) | \$ | 3,000 | 6 |
| 90039 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2184; Long. -97.4615) | \$ | 8,000 | 5 |
| 90040 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2184; Long. -97.461) | \$ | 11,000 | 5 |
| 90041 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2185; Long. -97.4606) | \$ | 5,000 | 5 |


| GPS ID | Project Name | Cost Projection |  | Priority |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90042 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2185; Long. -97.4603) | \$ | 8,000 | 5 |
| 90043 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2184; Long. -97.4597) | \$ | 2,000 | 13 |
| 90044 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2184; Long. -97.4762) | \$ | 3,000 | 5 |
| 90045 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2184; Long. -97.4759) | \$ | 7,000 | 5 |
| 90046 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2184; Long. -97.4739) | \$ | 8,000 | 2 |
| 90047 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2185; Long. -97.4734) | \$ | 5,000 | 2 |
| 90048 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2185; Long. -97.4732) | \$ | 8,000 | 5 |
| 90049 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2184; Long. -97.4723) | \$ | 8,000 | 2 |
| 90050 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2184; Long. -97.4721) | \$ | 8,000 | 2 |
| 90051 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2184; Long. -97.472) | \$ | 8,000 | 2 |
| 90052 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2185; Long. -97.471) | \$ | 8,000 | 5 |
| 90053 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2185; Long. -97.4708) | \$ | 7,000 | 5 |
| 90054 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2184; Long. -97.4699) | \$ | 15,000 | 5 |
| 90055 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2185; Long. -97.4696) | \$ | 18,000 | 5 |
| 90056 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2185; Long. -97.4694) | \$ | 12,000 | 5 |
| 90057 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2185; Long. -97.4692) | \$ | 7,000 | 5 |
| 90058 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2184; Long. -97.469) | \$ | 4,000 | 6 |
| 90059 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2185; Long. -97.4685) | \$ | 3,000 | 6 |
| 90060 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2185; Long. -97.4687) | \$ | 3,000 | 6 |
| 90061 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2185; Long. -97.47) | \$ | 2,000 | 13 |
| 90062 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2185; Long. -97.4704) | \$ | 2,000 | 13 |
| 90063 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2184; Long. -97.4742) | \$ | 4,000 | 2 |
| 90064 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2184; Long. -97.4754) | \$ | 2,000 | 13 |
| 90065 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2184; Long. -97.4756) | \$ | 2,000 | 13 |
| 90066 | Intersection of W Main St and driveway (Lat. 35.2184; Long. -97.4758) | \$ | 2,000 | 2 |
| 90067 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and driveway (Lat. 35.2178; Long. -97.4766) | \$ | 7,000 | 5 |
| 90068 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and George L Cross Dr | \$ | 11,000 | 5 |
| 90069 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and driveway (Lat. 35.2122; Long. -97.4767) | \$ | 2,000 | 2 |
| 90070 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and driveway (Lat. 35.212; Long. -97.4767) | \$ | 5,000 | 2 |
| 90071 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and driveway (Lat. 35.2124; Long. -97.4767) | \$ | 5,000 | 2 |
| 90072 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and driveway (Lat. 35.2179; Long. -97.4767) | \$ | 5,000 | 5 |
| 90073 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.219; Long. -97.4767) | \$ | 4,000 | 5 |
| 90074 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.222; Long. -97.4767) | \$ | 3,000 | 5 |
| 90075 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.2221; Long. -97.4767) | \$ | 2,000 | 13 |
| 90076 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.2225; Long. -97.4767) | \$ | 2,000 | 13 |
| 90077 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.2228; Long. -97.4766) | \$ | 7,000 | 5 |
| 90078 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.2226; Long. -97.4766) | \$ | 2,000 | 6 |
| 90079 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.2213; Long. -97.4766) | \$ | 2,000 | 13 |
| 90080 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.2203; Long. -97.4766) | \$ | 2,000 | 5 |
| 90081 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.2199; Long. -97.4766) | \$ | 5,000 | 5 |
| 90082 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.2235; Long. -97.4767) | \$ | 10,000 | 5 |
| 90083 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.2237; Long. -97.4767) | \$ | 1,000 | 9 |
| 90084 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.2238; Long. -97.4767) | \$ | 2,000 | 9 |
| 90085 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.2247; Long. -97.4767) | \$ | 5,000 | 5 |
| 90086 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.2249; Long. -97.4767) | \$ | 3,000 | 5 |
| 90087 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.227; Long. -97.4779) | \$ | 8,000 | 5 |
| 90088 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.2276; Long. -97.4786) | \$ | 5,000 | 5 |
| 90089 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.228; Long. -97.479) | \$ | 8,000 | 5 |
| 90090 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.2283; Long. -97.4793) | \$ | 8,000 | 5 |
| 90091 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.2286; Long. -97.4797) | \$ | 8,000 | 5 |
| 90092 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.2291; Long. -97.4802) | \$ | 7,000 | 2 |
| 90093 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and drainage structure (Lat. 35.2293; Long. 97.4803) | \$ | 8,000 | 2 |
| 90094 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.2296; Long. -97.4804) | \$ | 15,000 | 2 |
| 90095 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.2306; Long. -97.4804) | \$ | 15,000 | 2 |
| 90096 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.2311; Long. -97.4804) | \$ | 15,000 | 5 |
| 90097 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.2322; Long. -97.4804) | \$ | 8,000 | 5 |
| 90098 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.2324; Long. -97.4804) | \$ | 5,000 | 5 |


| GPS ID | Project Name |  | ection | Priority |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90099 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.232; Long. -97.4804) | \$ | 11,000 | 5 |
| 90100 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.2247; Long. -97.4766) | \$ | 8,000 | 5 |
| 90101 | Intersection of 24th Ave NW and driveway (Lat. 35.2233; Long. -97.4767) | \$ | 8,000 | 5 |
| 90102 | Intersection of E Lindsey St and driveway (Lat. 35.2038; Long. -97.4207) | \$ | 2,000 | 13 |
| 90103 | Intersection of E Lindsey St and driveway (Lat. 35.2038; Long. -97.4205) | \$ | 2,000 | 13 |
| 90104 | Intersection of E Lindsey St and driveway (Lat. 35.2038; Long. -97.4204) | \$ | 2,000 | 13 |
| 90108 | Intersection of E Lindsey St and driveway (Lat. 35.2039; Long. -97.41) | \$ | 2,000 | 13 |
| 90109 | Intersection of E Lindsey St and driveway (Lat. 35.2038; Long. -97.4125) | \$ | 2,000 | 13 |
| 90110 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and driveway (Lat. 35.2103; Long. -97.4766) | \$ | 13,000 | 2 |
| 90111 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and driveway (Lat. 35.2099; Long. -97.4766) | \$ | 8,000 | 5 |
| 90112 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and driveway (Lat. 35.2096; Long. -97.4766) | \$ | 5,000 | 5 |
| 90113 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and driveway (Lat. 35.2088; Long. -97.4766) | \$ | 2,000 | 5 |
| 90114 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and driveway (Lat. 35.2079; Long. -97.4766) | \$ | 4,000 | 5 |
| 90115 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and driveway (Lat. 35.2072; Long. -97.4766) | \$ | 11,000 | 5 |
| 90116 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and driveway (Lat. 35.207; Long. -97.4766) | \$ | 8,000 | 5 |
| 90117 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and driveway (Lat. 35.2065; Long. -97.4766) | \$ | 5,000 | 5 |
| 90118 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and driveway (Lat. 35.2063; Long. -97.4767) | \$ | 5,000 | 5 |
| 90119 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and driveway (Lat. 35.2055; Long. -97.4767) | \$ | 10,000 | 5 |
| 90120 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and driveway (Lat. 35.205; Long. -97.4767) | \$ | 5,000 | 5 |
| 90121 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and driveway (Lat. 35.2047; Long. -97.4767) | \$ | 10,000 | 5 |
| 90122 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and driveway (Lat. 35.2045; Long. -97.4767) | \$ | 12,000 | 5 |
| 90123 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and driveway (Lat. 35.2058; Long. -97.4767) | \$ | 2,000 | 13 |
| 90124 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and driveway (Lat. 35.2086; Long. -97.4767) | \$ | 2,000 | 13 |
| 90125 | Intersection of 24th Ave SW and driveway (Lat. 35.2089; Long. -97.4766) | \$ | 5,000 | 5 |
| 93223 | Intersection of E Lindsey St and driveway (Lat. 35.2038; Long. -97.4214) | \$ | 2,000 | 13 |
| 93224 | Intersection of E Lindsey St and driveway (Lat. 35.2038; Long. -97.4212) | \$ | 2,000 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  | \$ 1,094,000 |  |  |



## Project Location



Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition | Good | Good | Good | Poor | Repave roadway and install crosswalk pavement markings |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of traveI cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches | N/A | X | N/A |  |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5\% for free-flow approaches |  | N/A |  | N/A |  |
| Crossswalk width is Tesss than 6 | N/A | N/A- | 7 $77{ }^{-1}$ | N/A- | Install crosswalk pavement markings |
| Crosswalk striping condifion | Nōne | Nōne | None | None | Install crosswalk pavement markings |



Comment: Existing sidewalk, curb ramp, and/or striping configurations permit pedestrians to cross the major street. An Engineering study is needed to confirm crossing should be accommodated at this location and the current crossing treatment is appropriate.
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Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:
The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community
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## Project Location



Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition | N/A | N/A | N/A | Good |  |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than 5\% | N/A- | N/A | N7A ${ }^{-}$ |  |  |
| Path of fraveT cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches | N/A | N/A | N/A | X | Repave roadway and install crosswalk pavement markings |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5\% for free-flow approaches | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Crōsswava widthis iesss than 6 | -N/A | NTA ${ }_{\text {NT }}$ | ${ }^{7} \times 7 A^{-1}$ | NTA- | Install crosswalk pavement markings |
| Crosswalk striping condifion | N/A | N/A | N7A | Nōne | Install crosswalk pavement markings |


| Curb Ramp Issues | Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'I' in ramp label indicates noexisting ramp) |  |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1A | $2 z$ | $3 z$ | 4A |  |  |
| Curb ramp does not exist and is needed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cürb ramp does not land in crosswalk |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Curbē side is not 900 or has fraversable adjacent surface | X |  |  | X |  |  |
| Flare cross slope is greater than - $10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cưurb rāmp ruunning slope is s greāter thāñ $8.3 \%$ | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blended fransisition running siope is greater than $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
|  | X |  |  | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cưrb ramp wiathios | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cüf-Thiru ramp |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Texturrea surface at base of curb ramp | X | X |  | X |  |  |
|  | 又 | X |  | X |  | color truncated domes |
| tranding area does hot extst and ts heeded ---------------10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X |  |  | X |  | Rentove-andreptace tanding area- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Couñ greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pondiny vecars at base oftarbeantr |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources
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DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community

Client:
Program:
KHA No.:

## ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan

061298800


## Project Location



Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition | N/A | Good | N/A | Good |  |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than 5\% | N/A |  | N7A |  |  |
| Path of travē cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches | N/A |  | N/A | X | Repave roadway and install crosswalk pavement markings |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5\% for free-flow approaches | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Crōsswatk width is Tesss than 6 | N/A | N/A- | 707A | $\cdots$ |  |
| Crosswalk striping condifion | N/A | Nōn̄e | N7A | Noñe | Install crosswalk pavement markings |




Ramp 1A


## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources
Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community

Program: $\quad$ City $\quad$ Nelf-Evaluation and Transition Plan
KHA No.:
061298800


Project Location


Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition | Good | Good | Dangerous | N/A | Repave roadway and install crosswalk pavement markings |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than $5 \%$ |  |  |  | NTA |  |
| Path of traveI cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches | N/A |  | N/A | N/A |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5\% for free-flow approaches |  | N/A |  | N/A |  |
| Crosswalk widthis Tesss than 6 | N/A ${ }^{\text {N/ }}$ | NTA | 777A | ${ }^{\text {NTA }}$ |  |
| Crossswalk striping condifion | Nōne | Nōnē | Noñe | NTA ${ }^{-}$ | Install crosswalk pavement markings |


| Curb Ramp Issues | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates no } \\ & \text { existing ramp) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 z$ | 2A | 2B | 3A | 3B | $4 z$ |  |
| Curb ramp does not exist and is needed | X |  |  |  |  | X | Install curb ramp; if median improvement, see shapetife |
| Curb ramp does not Tand in crosswalk |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | X | X | X | X |  |  |
| Fläre- cross slope is greater than $10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cürb ramp rūnning slope is greafer thāñ $8.3 \%$ |  | X | X | X | X |  |  |
| Blended frañisition running slope is greater thañ $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
|  |  | X | X |  | X |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nō Textüred surface ant base of curb ramp |  | X | X | X | X- |  |  |
|  |  | X | 又 | X | X |  | color truncated domes |
| tanding area does hot exist and ts Treeded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | X | X | X | X |  | Reentove-andreptace tarroing area |
| Curbramp |  | X- | X | X | X |  | FTx curbeamp |
|  greater than $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pending vecars at base of tarbiantir------ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Comment: Existing sidewalk, curb ramp, and/or striping configurations permit pedestrians to cross the major street. An Engineering study is needed to confirm crossing should be accommodated at this location and the current crossing treatment is appropriate.


Corner 1 No Ramp (1z)


Ramp 2A


Ramp 2B
Ramp 3A


Ramp 3B


Corner 4 No Ramp (4z)

## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community



Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:
The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:
Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community



Corner 1 No Ramp (1z)



Ramp 2A


Corner 4 No Ramp (4z)

Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:
The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources
Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community



Ramp 1A


Corner 3 No Ramp (3z)


Corner 2 No Ramp (2z)



## Project Location



Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition | N/A | N/A | Dangerous | Good | Repave roadway and Install crosswalk pavement markings |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than 5\% | N/A | TNA |  |  |  |
| Path of traveI cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5\% for free-flow approaches | N/A | N/A |  | N/A |  |
| Crososwalk width is Tesss than 6 | N/A | N/A | NTA | N/A |  |
| Crosswalk striping condition | N/A | N/A | None | Noñ | nstall crosswalk pavement markings |



Comment: Existing sidewalk, curb ramp, and/or striping configurations permit pedestrians to cross the major street. An Engineering study is needed to confirm crossing should be accommodated at this location and the current crossing treatment is appropriate.


Ramp 1A


Corner 3 No Ramp (3z)


Ramp 4B


Corner 2 No Ramp (2z)


Ramp 4A

## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community

Program: $\quad$ City Olf-Evaluation and Transition Plan
KHA No.:
061298800


Project Location


Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition | Good | N/A | N/A | Good |  |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than 5\% |  | N/A | N7A |  |  |
| Path of traveT cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5\% for free-flow approaches |  | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Crosswalk widthis Tesss than 6 | N/A- | TNA | 707A | NTA- |  |
| Crosswāalk striping condition | Nōnē | N/A | $7 \mathrm{~T} /{ }^{-}$ | Nō̃e | Install crosswalk pavement markings |


| Curb Ramp Issues | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates no } \\ \text { existing ramp) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1A | 1B | $2 z$ | $3 z$ | 4A |  |  |
| Curb ramp does not exist and is needed |  |  | X |  |  |  | Install curb ramp; if median improvement, see shapetife |
| Cưrb ramp doees nōt Tand in corosswalk |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Curbed side is not 900 or has 7raversablē adjācent suirface |  | X- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flare cross slope is greater than $10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cuurb ramp rūñing slope is greãer thāñ $8.3 \%$ | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blended frañisition running siope is greater than $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cữ-Thrū ramp rūñ̄ing s- slope is is greateer thān $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
| Cürb ramp cross soslope is greãeer than $2 \%$ |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nō Textüread sưrface-at base of curb ramp | X | X |  |  | X |  |  |
|  | - | X |  |  | X |  | color truncated domes |
| tranding area does hot exist and ts heeded --------------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tranding area is tess than $5-5$ - ${ }^{-1}$ - | X | X- |  |  | * |  | Rentove-andreptace tanndinty area |
|  | X | X- |  |  |  |  | FTVてUTbTaTnp transiton |
|  greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prondiny vecurs atbase of carbanamp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Comment: Existing sidewalk, curb ramp, and/or striping configurations permit pedestrians to cross the major street. An Engineering study is needed to confirm crossing should be accommodated at this location and the current crossing treatment is appropriate.


Ramp 1A


Corner 2 No Ramp (2z)


Ramp 4A

## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:
Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community



Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:
The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:
Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community


## Project Location



Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition | Dangerous | N/A | N/A | N/A | Repave roadway and install crosswalk pavement markings |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than 5\% |  | TNA | N7A | NTA |  |
| Path of traveI cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches |  | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5\% for free-flow approaches | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
|  | N/A | N/A | NTA | NTA- |  |
| Crosswalk striping condition | Nōne | N/A | N/A | N/A | nstall crosswalk pavement markings |


| Curb Ramp Issues | Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'I' in ramp label indicates noexisting ramp) |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1A | 2A | $3 z$ | $4 z$ |  |
| Curb ramp does not exist and is needed |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cürb ramp does not land in crosswalk |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flare cross slope is greater than $10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X | X |  |  |  |
| Blended fransisition running siope is greater than $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cūt-Thrū ramp rūñing slope is is greateer thān $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Temporary obstruction $7 \leq 0.25$ " 7 Incurb ramplandingtiares |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X | X |  | X |  |
|  | $\overline{ }$ | X |  | X | color truncated domes |
| tranding area does hot extst and ts heeded ---------------1-1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | X- |  |  | Rentove-andreptace tandinty area- |
|  |  | X |  |  | Ftz curbeamp iransiton |
|  greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prondiny ocears at base of carbiantr |  |  |  |  |  |

Comment: Existing sidewalk, curb ramp, and/or striping configurations permit pedestrians to cross the major street. An Engineering study is needed to confirm crossing should be accommodated at this location and the current crossing treatment is appropriate.


Ramp 1A


Corner 3 No Ramp (3z)


Ramp 2A


Corner 4 No Ramp (4z)

Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:
The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources
Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community


## Project Location



Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition | N/A | N/A | Good | N/A |  |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than 5\% | N/A | N/A |  | N/A |  |
| Path of trāveT cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches | N/A | N/A | X | N/A | Repave roadway and install crosswalk pavement markings |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5\% for free-flow approaches | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Crossiswalk widftisistess than | -N/A- | TNA ${ }^{\text {Na }}$ | 7N7A- | NTA- | Install crosswalk pavement markings |
| Crosswalk striping condition | N/A | N/A | None | N/A | Install crosswalk pavement markings |


| Curb Ramp Issues | Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'I' in ramp label indicates noexisting ramp) |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1A | $2 z$ | 3A | 4A |  |
| Curb ramp does not exist and is needed |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cürb ramp does not land in crosswalk |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X |  | X | X |  |
| Flare cross slope is greater than $10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X |  | X |  |  |
| Blended fransisition running siope is greater than $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cūt-Thrū ramp rūñing slope is is greateer thān $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
|  | X |  |  | X |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cürb ramp width is leess than 48 " |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Temporary obstruction $7 \leq 0.25$ " 7 Incurb ramplandingtiares |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nō Textüred sưrface-at base of curb ramp | X | X | X | X |  |
|  | X | X | 又 | X | color truncated domes |
| tranding area does hot extst and ts heeded ---------------1-1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X |  | X | * | Rentove-and reptace tantinting area- |
|  | X |  | X |  |  |
|  greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prondiny ocears at base of carbiantr |  |  |  |  |  |

Comment: Existing sidewalk, curb ramp, and/or striping configurations permit pedestrians to cross the major street. An Engineering study is needed to confirm crossing should be accommodated at this location and the current crossing treatment is appropriate.


Ramp 1A
Corner 2 No Ramp (2z)


## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community


## Project Location



Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition | Good | Good | Dangerous | Dangerous | Repave roadway and Install crosswalk pavement markings |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of traveI cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches |  | N/A |  | N/A |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5\% for free-flow approaches | N/A |  | N/A |  |  |
|  | N/A | N/A- | NTA | NTA |  |
| Crosswalk striping condition | Nōē | Nōn̄- | None | None | nstall crosswalk pavement markings |


| Curb Ramp Issues | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'I' in ramp label indicates no } \\ \text { existing ramp) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 z$ | $2 z$ | 3A | 4A |  |
| Curb ramp does not exist and is needed | X | X |  |  | Install curb ramp; if median mprovement, see shapetife |
| Cürb ramp doees not Tand in crosswalk |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | X |  |  |
| Cürbed side is not $90^{\circ}$ or has |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flare cross slope is greater than $10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cuurb ramp rūñing slope is greãer thāñ $8.3 \%$ |  |  | X |  |  |
| Blended frañisition running siope is greater than $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cūt-Thrū ramp rūñing s-lope is is greateer thān $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
| Curb ramp crosss scope is greater than $2 \%$ |  |  | X |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nō Texxüread sưrface-at base of curb ramp |  |  | X | X |  |
|  |  |  | X | X | color truncated domes |
| tranding area does not extrr and ts needed- |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | X | Fix curbeamporansiton- |
|  greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pondiny ocears at base of carboantr |  |  |  |  |  |

Comment: Existing sidewalk, curb ramp, and/or striping configurations permit pedestrians to cross the major street. An Engineering study is needed to confirm crossing should be accommodated at this location and the current crossing treatment is appropriate.


Corner 1 No Ramp (1z)



Corner 2 No Ramp (2z)


Ramp 4A

Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:
The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community

Client:
Program:
ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan
061298800


## Project Location



Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition | Good | N/A | Dangerous | N/A | Repave roadway and install crosswalk pavement markings |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than $5 \%$ |  | N/A |  | NTA |  |
| Path of traveT cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches |  | N/A | X | N/A |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5\% for free-flow approaches | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Crossiswalk widft is Tesss than ${ }^{\text {con }}$ | N/A ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | TNA ${ }^{-1}$ | 7TA ${ }^{-1}$ | NTA ${ }^{-1}$ | Install crosswalk pavement markings |
| Crossswalk striping condifion | Nōnē | N/A | Nōne | NTA | Install crosswalk pavement markings |


| Curb Ramp Issues | Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates noexisting ramp) |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1A | 2A | 3A | 4A |  |
| Curb ramp does not exist and is needed |  |  |  |  |  |
| Curb ramp does not Tand in crosswalk |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Curbed side is not 900 or has \#raversablē adjācent suirface |  |  | X | X |  |
| Fläre- cross slope is greater than $10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cưrb ramp raunning slope is greater thāñ 8 -3\% | X | X | X |  |  |
| Blended frañisition running slope is greater thañ $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
|  | X | X |  | X | Remove and replace curb ramp |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cürb ramp width is leess than 48 " | X | X |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | X- |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nō Textüred surface ant base of curb ramp | X | X | X- | X |  |
|  | X | X- | X | X | color truncated domes |
| tanding area does hot exist and ts Treeded |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | x | X |  | X |  |
| Curb rammp fransition onto roãway Is greater thañ U.L5--- | X | X- | X | X | FTx CuTbTaTnp Transiton---------- |
|  greater than $5 \%$ |  |  | X |  | Fix curb ramp counter slope |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |



Ramp 1A


Ramp 3A


Ramp 2A


Ramp 4A

## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community

Client:
Program:
KHA No.:
ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan



_GRSID:_1024

| Corridor:---------WMainst | GRS_ID:_1024 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| City: Norman |  |

## Project Location



Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition | Good | N/A | Good | N/A |  |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than 5\% |  | TNA |  | NTA |  |
| Path of travē cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches |  | N/A | X | N/A | Repave roadway and install crosswalk pavement markings |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5\% for free-flow approaches | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Crōsswatk width is Tesss than 6 | N/A | NTA | 707A | NTA |  |
| Crosswalk striping condifion | Nōē | N/A- | Nō̃e | NTA | Install crosswalk pavement markings |


| Curb Ramp Issues | Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates noexisting ramp) |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1A | 2A | 3A | 4A |  |
| Curb ramp does not exist and is needed |  |  |  |  |  |
| Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Courbed side is not 900 or has traversable adjacent surface |  |  | X | X |  |
| Flare- cross slope is greater thañ $10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cürb ramp prunnining slōpe is greater thān $8.3 \%$ | X | X | X |  |  |
| Blended fransisition rünning slope is greater thañ $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cūt-Thrü ramp ruñning slope is greater than $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
|  | X | X | X- |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | X | X |  | Remove Temporary obstruction |
|  | X | X |  |  |  |
|  | 又 | X |  |  | color truncated domes |
| tranding area does mot extst and ts meeded |  |  |  |  |  |
| tranding area is tess than-5 $\times$ - 5 -or stopes greater than $2 \%$ | X | X |  |  | Rentove-andreptace tanding area |
| Curb rammp fransition onto roãway Is greater thañ U.25 | X | X- |  |  | FTV curbeampormasiton------ |
|  greater than $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pentiny vecurs at base of carboantr- |  | X- | - |  | Fixponding |



Ramp 1A



Ramp 2A


## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:
Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community


## Project Location



Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition | Good | N/A | Good | N/A |  |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than 5\% |  | N/A- |  | NTA |  |
| Path of traveI cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches |  | N/A |  | N/A |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5\% for free-flow approaches | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Crosswwalk widthistēs than 6 | NN/A | NTA ${ }_{\text {NA }}$ | ${ }^{7} \times 7 A^{-}$ | NTA | Install crosswalk pavement markings |
| Crosswalk striping condition | Nōne | N/A | Noñe | NTA | Install crosswalk pavement markings |


| Curb Ramp Issues | Curb RampID ('z' or ' $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ ' in ramp label indicates noexisting ramp) |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 z$ | $2 z$ | 3A | 4A |  |
| Curb ramp does not exist and is needed |  |  |  |  |  |
| Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | X | X |  |
| Flare- cross slope is greater thañ $10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cürb ramp rūnning slope is greafer thāñ $8.3 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blended frañisition running slope is greater thañ $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
|  |  |  |  | X | Remove and replace curb ramp |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cürb ramp width is leess than 48 " |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nō textüred suurface-at base of curb ramp |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tranding area does hot extst and ts heeded---------------10 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peondiny uecars at base oftarbiaitr |  |  | - | - | Fixponding |



Corner 1 No Ramp (1z)


Ramp 3A


Corner 2 No Ramp (2z)


Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:
The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:
Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community

Client:
Program:
City of Norman
KHA No.:

## ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan

061298800


## Project Location



Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition | Good | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than 5\% |  | N/A- | N7A | NTA |  |
| Path of traveI cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches |  | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5\% for free-flow approaches | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Crosiswalk widft is Tesss than ${ }^{\text {con }}$ | N/A- | TNA | 77A ${ }^{-1}$ | NTA |  |
| Crossswalk striping condifion | Nōne | N/A | N/A | NTA | Install crosswalk pavement markings |


| Curb Ramp Issues | Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates noexisting ramp) |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1A | 2A | $3 z$ | $4 z$ |  |
| Curb ramp does not exist and is needed |  |  |  |  |  |
| Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flare- cross slope is greater thañ - $0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cürb ramp prunnining slōpe is greater thān $8.3 \%$ | X | X |  |  |  |
| Blended fransisition rünning slope is greater thañ $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cūt-Thrū ramp |  |  |  |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
|  | X | X |  |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X | X |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X |  |  |  |  |
| Nō Textüred surfaceeat base of cuurb ramp | X | X |  |  |  |
|  | 又 | X |  |  | color truncated domes |
| tranding area does mot extst and ts meeded |  |  |  |  |  |
| tranding area is tess than-5' $\times$-5-or stopes greater than $2 \%$ | X | X- |  |  | Rentrove-andreptace tandinty area- |
| Curb rammp fransition onto roãway Is greater thañ U.25 |  | X- |  |  | FTx curboannp iransiton----- |
|  greater than $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pondinty vecars at base of |  |  |  |  |  |



Corner 3 No Ramp (3z)


Ramp 2A


Corner 4 No Ramp (4z)

Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:
The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources
Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community



Corner 1 No Ramp (1z)



Corner 2 No Ramp (2z)


Ramp 4A

Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:
The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community


## Project Location



Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition | N/A | N/A | Good | Good |  |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than 5\% | N/A | TNA |  |  |  |
| Path of travē cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches | N/A | N/A | X | N/A | Repave roadway and install crosswalk pavement markings |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5\% for free-flow approaches | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |  |
| Crōsswatk width is Tēss than 6 | N/A | NTA | NTA- | NTA |  |
| Crosswalk striping condifion | N/A | N/A- | Nōne | Noñe | Install crosswalk pavement markings |



Comment: Existing sidewalk, curb ramp, and/or striping configurations permit pedestrians to cross the major street. An Engineering study is needed to confirm crossing should be accommodated at this location and the current crossing treatment is appropriate.


Corner 1 No Ramp (1z)



Corner 2 No Ramp (2z)


Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:
The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources
Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community


## Project Location



Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition | N/A | N/A | Good | N/A |  |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than $5 \%$ | N/A | N/A |  | NTA |  |
| Path of traveI cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches | N/A | N/A |  | N/A |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5\% for free-flow approaches | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Crosiswalk width is Tēsis than ${ }^{\text {cos }}$ | N/A- | TNA | 7TA | NTA- | Install crosswalk pavement markings |
| Crosswalk striping condition | N/A | N/A | Nōnē | NTA ${ }^{-1}$ | Install crosswalk pavement markings |


| Curb Ramp Issues | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates no } \\ \text { existing ramp) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SF | $1 z$ | $2 z$ | 3A | 4A |  |
| Curb ramp does not exist and is needed | X |  |  |  |  | TRemove and replace cut-thru ramp |
| Cürb ramp does not Tand in crosswak |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flare cross slope is greater than $10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Courb ramp ruunning slope is greãer thān $8.3 \%$ |  |  |  | X |  |  |
| Blended fransitioion running siope is greater than $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
| Cürb ramp crooss scope is greateer than $2 \%$ |  |  |  |  | X | Remove and replace curb ramp |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cü-Thrü ramp width is Tess thann $60{ }^{\text {m }}$ | X |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nō Textüred surface-at base of curb ramp |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tranding area does hot exist and ts heeded------------ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | X | X | Rentove-andreptace tantirity area |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  greater than 5\% |  |  |  | X |  | Fix curb ramp counter slope |
| Pondiny vecurs at base of carboritio- |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Ramp South F


Corner 2 No Ramp (2z)

Ramp 4A



Corner 1 No Ramp (1z)


Ramp 3A

## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community



Ramp 1A
Ramp 2A


Corner 3 No Ramp (3z)


Corner 4 No Ramp (4z)

## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources
Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community



Ramp 1A


Ramp 4A

## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community

| Client: <br> Program: <br> KHA No.: | City of Norman <br> ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan <br> 061298800 | Date: 4/30/18 Prepared By: CMP Checked Bv: EPE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corridor:--------_ELindsey |  |  |
| Project_Na | Intersection of_E_Lindsey_St and_driveway |  |



## Project Location



Field Observations


| Curb Ramp Issues | Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'if' in ramp label indicates noexisting ramp) |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3A | 4A |  |  |
| Curb ramp does not exist and is needed |  |  |  |  |
| Cürb ramp doees nōt Tand in corosswalk |  |  |  |  |
| No-4 ${ }^{\text {x }} 4^{7}$ clear space at base of curb ramp |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Flare cross slope is greater than $10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Cư̄万 |  |  |  |  |
| Blēnded fransitiōn running siope is greater than $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
| Curb ramp cross sope is greater than $2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X | X |  |  |
| Cưf-Thrū rampo widthis |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X | X |  |  |
|  | \% | X |  | color truncated domes |
| tranding area does not extst and ts needed-- |  |  |  |  |
| tranding areat is tess than 5 - $x-5$ - ${ }^{-1}$ stopes greater than $2 \%$ |  | X |  | fientove-andreptace tanding area |
|  | ${ }^{-}$ |  |  | FTx CuTITTaTnP transiton |
|  greater than $5 \%$ | X |  |  | Fix curb ramp counter slope |
| Pondiny vecurs atbase ofturbiantr- |  |  |  |  |



## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:
Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community

Client:
Program: $\quad$ City Olf-Evaluation and Transition Plan
KHA No.:
061298800



## Project Location



Field Observations


| Curb Ramp Issues | Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates noexisting ramp) |  |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1A | 2A | 3A | 4A |  |  |
| Curb ramp does not exist and is needed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cưrb ramp doees nōt Tand in corosswak |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Curbed side is not 9000 or has |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flare cross slope is greater than $10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Courb ramp rūñing slope is greãer thān $8.3 \%$ |  | X | X | X |  |  |
| BTended fransisition running siōpe is greater thañ 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
|  | X | X | X |  |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X | X |  | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | X- |  |  |  |
| Temporary obsstruction $7>0.25$ " 7 T- curb ramplandingtilires |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Texturred surface at base of curb ramp | X | X | X | X |  |  |
| Nō çōoror cōñtrast | 又 | X | X | X |  | color truncated domes |
| Ltanding aree does hot exist and ts heeded ---------------10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tranding areat is tess than-5 $\times$ - 5 - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ stopes greater than-2\% | - | X |  |  |  | Rentove-andreptace tantinty area |
|  | - | X | X- |  |  | FTxてuTbTaTnp iransiton |
|  greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pendiny vecurs at base of carboanti- |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Ramp 1A



Ramp 2A


Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:
The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources
Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community


| Curb Ramp Issues | Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates noexisting ramp) |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3A | 4A |  |  |
| Curb ramp does not exist and is needed |  |  |  |  |
| Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk |  |  |  |  |
| No - ${ }^{\text {r }}$ - 4 - clear space at base of curb ramp |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Flarē cross slope is greater than $10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Cuüb ramp running siope is greater than $8.3 \%$ | X | X |  |  |
| Blended frānsitiōn rūñing siolo is is greater thān $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X | X |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cürb ramp w widft īs |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| tranding area does hot extst and ts needed |  |  |  |  |
| tranding area is tess than 5 - $\times$-5-0rstopes greater than $2 \%$ | - | ${ }^{-}$ |  | Fentove-andreptace tamding area |
|  |  | X- |  | PT\% curberamp transitom--------- |
|  greater than $5 \%$ | X | X |  | Fix curb ramp counter slope |
|  |  |  |  |  |




Ramp 4A

## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:
Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community


## Project Location



Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition | All driveway path of travel issues and possible solutions provided in driveway shapefile |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5\% for free-flow approaches |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crōsswatk width is Tesss than 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crosswalk striping condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Curb Ramp Issues | Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates noexisting ramp) |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3A | 4A |  |  |
| Curb ramp does not exist and is needed |  |  |  |  |
| Cürb ramp doees nōt Tand in corosswalk |  |  |  |  |
| No-4 ${ }^{\text {x }} 4^{7}$ clear space at base of curb ramp |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Flare cross slope is greater than $10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | X |  |  |
| Blēnded fransitiōn rūñing siope is greater than $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
| Cưrb ramp cross so slope is si greãter fhan $2 \%$ | X | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X | X |  |  |
| Cưf-Thrū rampo widthis |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X | X |  |  |
|  | X | X |  | color truncated domes |
| tranding area does not extst and ts needed-- |  |  |  |  |
| tranding area is tess than-5 $\times$ - 5 - stopes greater than-2\% | - | * |  | Rentove-andreptacetanding area- |
|  | x |  |  | FTVCuIbTaTnp-Transtion |
|  greater than $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Pondiny ocears atbase of carbeatip- |  |  |  |  |



Ramp 3A


Ramp 4A

## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:
Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community



## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:
Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community


## Project Location



Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition | All driveway path of travel issues and possible solutions provided in driveway shapefile |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5\% for free-flow approaches |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crōsswatk width is Tesss than 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crosswalk striping condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Curb Ramp Issues | Curb Ramp ID ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ' or 'i' in ramp label indicates noexisting ramp) |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1A | 2 A |  |  |
| Curb ramp does not exist and is needed |  |  |  |  |
| Cürb ramp doees nōt Tand in corosswalk |  |  |  |  |
| No- 4 ' $\times 4^{+}$clear space at base of curb ramp |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Flare cross slope is greater than $10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Cuurb ramp rūñing slope is greajer than $8.3 .3 \%$ | X |  |  |  |
| Blended fransition running siope is greater thañ $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
| Curb ramp cross sope is greater than $2 \%$ | X |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X | X |  |  |
| Cưf-Thrū rampo widthis |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| tranding area does hot exist and ts heeded |  |  |  |  |
| tranding area is tess than 5 - $x-5$ - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ stopes greater than $-2 \%$ | - | X |  | Remrove-andreptace tanding area |
|  | - |  |  | PTx cutbeamp iraisitom |
|  greater than $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Pondiny vecurs atbase ofturbiantr- |  |  |  |  |



Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:
The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:
Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community



## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:
Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community


## Project Location



Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition | All driveway path of travel issues and possible solutions provided in driveway shapefile |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of traveT cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop contrō <br> approaches |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5\% for free-flow approaches |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crosswalk widthistēss than 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crosswalk striping condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Curb Ramp Issues | Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates noexisting ramp) |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1A | 2A |  |  |
| Curb ramp does not exist and is needed |  |  |  |  |
| Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X | X |  |  |
| Flare cross slope is greater than - $10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Blēnded fransitiōn rūñing siope is greater than $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Cūt-Thrū ramp rūñing s-lope is is greāter than 50 |  |  |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
| Cürb ramp co cooss s̄op |  |  |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X | X |  |  |
|  | X | X |  | color truncated domes |
| tranding area does hot exist and ts heeded ------------ |  |  |  |  |
| tramding area istess than-5' $\times 5^{-5-\sigma}$ stopes greater than - $2 \%$ | X |  |  | Prentove-andreptace tarndiry area |
|  |  | X |  | Ftrcuribeamperamsiton- |
|  greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |
| Pondiny vecars atbase of carbaatip----- |  |  |  |  |



## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:
Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community


## Project Location



Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of traveT cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches |  | p | el | pos | driveway shapefile |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5\% for free-flow approaches |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crossswalk striping condifion |  |  |  |  |  |


| Curb Ramp Issues | Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates noexisting ramp) |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 z$ | 2A |  |  |
| Curb ramp does not exist and is needed |  |  |  |  |
| Cưrbr ramp doees not Tand in crosswalk |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Flare cross slope is greater than $10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X | X |  |  |
|  | - | X |  | color truncated domes |
| tranding areadoces hor exist and ts heeded |  |  |  |  |
| tranding arear is tess than-5' $\times$-5-or stopes greater than $2 \%$ |  | - |  | Rentove-andreptace tamting area |
| Cưb |  |  |  |  |
|  greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |



Corner 1 No Ramp (1z)


Ramp 2A

## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:
Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community


## Project Location



Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition | All driveway path of travel issues and possible solutions provided in driveway shapefile |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of traveT cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop contrō <br> approaches |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5\% for free-flow approaches |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crosswalk widthistēss than 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crosswalk striping condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Curb Ramp Issues | Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates noexisting ramp) |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 A | 2 A |  |  |
| Curb ramp does not exist and is needed |  |  |  |  |
| Cürb ramp doees nōt Tand in corosswalk |  |  |  |  |
| No-4 ${ }^{\text {x }} 4^{7}$ clear space at base of curb ramp |  |  |  |  |
|  | X |  |  |  |
| Flare cross slope is greater than $10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Cư̄万 |  |  |  |  |
| Blēnded fransitiōn rūñing siope is greater than $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
| Curb ramp cross sope is greater than $2 \%$ |  | X |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cürb ramp width is |  |  |  |  |
| Cưf-Thrū rampo widthis |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X |  |  | Remove temporary obstruction |
|  | X | X |  |  |
|  | X | X |  | color truncated domes |
| tranding area does not extst andts heeded- |  |  |  |  |
| tranding area is tess than-5 $\times$ - 5 - stopes greater than-2\% | - |  |  | Rentove-andreptace tanding area |
|  |  | X |  | FTx CuTITTaTnP transiton |
|  greater than $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Pondiny ocears atbase of carbeatip- |  |  |  |  |



## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:
Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community


## Project Location



Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | E | S | W |  |
| Path of travel pavement condition |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of traveT cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches |  | p | el | pos | driveway shapefile |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than 5\% for free-flow approaches |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crossswalk striping condifion |  |  |  |  |  |


| Curb Ramp Issues | Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates noexisting ramp) |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1A | 2 A |  |  |
| Curb ramp does not exist and is needed |  |  |  |  |
| Cürb ramp does not Tand in crosswalk |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cübeed side is in | X |  |  |  |
| Flāre cross slope is greater thañ $10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Cürb rāmp rūñining s soope is ī greater thān $8.3 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
| Curb ramp cross so slope is is greāter fhañ $2 \%$ |  | X |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X | X |  | For intersection, commerciardriveway, añ |
|  | - | X |  | color truncated domes |
| tranding areadoes hot extst and ts needed- |  |  |  |  |
| tranding area is tess than-5-5-5 stopes greater than $2 \%$ |  | X |  | Prentove-andreptace tamuling area |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |
| -Pondiny ucturs at base of carboantio |  |  |  |  |



## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:
Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community



Corner 3 No Ramp (3z)


Ramp 2A


## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:
Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community


## Project Location



Field Observations




## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:
Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community



Corner 1 No Ramp (1z)



Ramp 2A


Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:
The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

## Project Location Map Sources:

Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community

| Client: <br> Program: | City of Norman <br> ADA Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan <br> 06A No.: | Date: 4/30/18 <br> Prepared By: <br> CMP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Checked By: EPE |  |  |



## Project Location



Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Path of travel pavement condition | All driveway path of travel issues and possible solutions provided in driveway shapefile (TRPEDDRV) |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of traveT cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than $5 \%$ for free-flow approaches |  |  |  |  |  |
|  Crossswalk striping condition |  |  |  |  |  |


| Curb Ramp Issues | Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates noexisting ramp) |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1A | $2 z$ |  |  |
| Curb ramp does not exist and is needed |  |  |  |  |
| Cürb ramp doees nōt Tand in corosswalk |  |  |  |  |
| No-4 ${ }^{\text {x }} 4^{7}$ clear space at base of curb ramp |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Flare cross slope is greater than $10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Cư̄万 |  |  |  |  |
| Blended fransition running siope is greater thañ $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Remove and replace curb ramp |
| Curb ramp cross sope is greater than $2 \%$ | X |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cưf-Thrū rampo widthis |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X | X |  |  |
|  | \% | X |  | color truncated domes |
| tranding area does not extst andts heeded- |  |  |  |  |
| tranding areat is tess than 5 - $x-5$ - ${ }^{-1}$ stopes greater than $2 \%$ | - |  |  | fientove-andreptace tanding area |
|  | ${ }^{-}$ |  |  | FTx CuTITTaTnP transiton |
|  greater than $5 \%$ | X |  |  | Fix curb ramp counter slope |
| Pondiny vecurs atbase ofturbiantr- |  |  |  |  |



## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:
Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community


## Project Location



Field Observations

| Intersection Issues | Crosswalk |  |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Path of travel pavement condition | All driveway path of travel issues and possible solutions provided in driveway shapefile (TRPEDDRV) |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel running slope is greater than $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of traveI cross slope is greater than $2 \%$ for stop control approaches |  |  |  |  |  |
| Path of travel cross slope is greater than $5 \%$ for free-flow approaches Cross |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crossswalk satriping condition |  |  |  |  |  |


| Curb Ramp Issues | Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates noexisting ramp) |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 z$ | 2 A |  |  |
| Curb ramp does not exist and is needed |  |  |  |  |
| Cưrb ramp does not Tand in crosswalk |  |  |  |  |
| No-4 ${ }^{\text {x }} 4^{7}$ clear space at base of curb ramp |  |  |  |  |
| Cürbed side is not 900 or has fraversable ādjācent suurface |  |  |  |  |
| Flare cross slope is greater than $10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Cürb ramp ruuñing slope is greãer than $8.3 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Blended transitiōon rūñing siope is greater than $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Curbor ramp cross so slope is is greāter fhan $2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cürb ràmp width is ieess thān $48^{n \prime}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Cưf-Thrū rampo widthis |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | X | X |  |  |
|  | X | X |  | color truncated domes |
| tranding area does not extst and ts needed-- |  |  |  |  |
| tranding area is tess than-5 $\times$ - 5 - stopes greater than-2\% |  | X |  | Rentove-andreptace tanding area |
|  |  | X |  | PTx CuTbTamp transiton |
|  greater than $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Pondiny ocears atbase of carbeatip- |  |  |  |  |



## Opinion of Probable Construction Cost Disclaimer:

The Engineer has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Engineer at this time and represent only the Engineer's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Engineer cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Project Location Map Sources:
Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), TomTom, 2013,
DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, i-cubed, USDA, AEX, Getmapping, Aerogrip, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community


| Curb Ramp Issues | Curb Ramp ID ('z' or 'i' in ramp label indicates noexisting ramp) |  |  | Possible Solutions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 z$ | $2 z$ |  |  |
| Curb ramp does not exist and is needed | X | X |  | Install curb ramp; if median mprovement, see shapetife |
| Curb ramp does not land in crosswalk |  |  |  |  |
| No - ${ }^{\text {r }}$ - 4 - clear space at base of curb ramp |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Flâre cross slope is greater thañ $10 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Curb ramp running siope is greater than $8.3 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Blended frānsitiōn rūñing s slope is greater thān $5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cưrb ramp cross so slope is greãeer fhañ 2\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cürb ramp width is |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Temporary obstractiont>0.25")Th curbramplanding filares |  |  |  |  |
| Nō textưrea surface -at base of curb ramp |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| tranding area does hot extsr and ts needed |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  greater than 5\% |  |  |  |  |
| Pondiny ocears at base of carbeantr- |  |  |  |  |

